**University Curriculum Committee**
**Minutes of  February 17, 2010**

PRESENT: Robert Adams (Chair), David Alvarez, Teresa Bacon-Baguley, Ruth Ann Brintnall, Martin Burg, Maria Cimitile (ex officio), Marinus DeBruine (for Glenn Pettengill), Christine Drewel, Maria Fidalgo-Eick, Mel Northup, Mark Pestana, Scott Rood, Doug Way

Guests: Christopher Kierkus, Carly Hilinski, Pakky Gerkin (CJ)

Approval of the Agenda: approved

Approval of Minutes: approved

Report from the Chair: Engineering desires to convert 5 emphasis areas into majors. Does UCC want to see 5 separate prospecti or 1 prospectus with the 5 new degrees included? Result of UCC discussion suggested that the 5 new majors could be grouped into one proposal, as long as the documentation for the 5 separate degrees was clearly delineated.

Report from the Provost:  Online course presentation was made to ECS and support for the council to be                                                         formed (online course review panel).  Proposal for establishment of a council will be sent to all UCC members for discussion in a future meeting.

Themes: Are themes fine as is or should there be revisions to the theme portion of the general education program at GVSU.  If there are changes that are needed, what are the reasons for such changes?  Could themes be replaced by  other types of course offerings?  UCC is being asked for input considering the                                      Themes.

**New Business**

·        #4886 Juvenile Justice minor Prospectus
*Action:  supported*

·        #6994 CHM 109 CCP
*Action:  approved*

·        #7026 Drop Sociology Teaching Minor Drop
*Action: approved*

·        #6987 MSN PCR
*Action:  amended then tabled*

·        #7034 Music Minor PCR
*Action: approved with amendment*

·        #7030 HTM 323 NCP
*Action: approve*

·        Update: Double degrees update.  Information received from ASPC.  UCC supports ASPC's decision  that there aren't enough students seeking double degrees within a single department to warrant development of new policies and procedures.

·        Discussion: Syllabus of Record.

What is the purpose of the SOR?  To show minimum content or sample content?  UCC should reaffirm why the SOR exists.  If it is to show minimal content, why?

Introductory prose:  Is this for general education and SWS boilerplates, or can more optional information be included, etc.

Discussion of objectives next week.

Adjourn: 4:00pm

Automatically Approved Proposals

* #6636 COM 348 CCP