

Alternative Stormwater Management Practices that Address the Environmental, Social, and Economic Aspects of Water Resources in the Spring Lake Watershed (MI)

### **FINAL PROJECT REPORT**

### September 2009

(Revised: January 2010)



Co-Principal Investigator/Project Manager: Elaine Sterrett Isely

Principal Investigator: Alan D. Steinman

Technical Team Members: Kurt Thompson, Jon Vander Molen,

John Koches



Technical Team Members: Sanjiv Sinha, Lisa Huntington



Funding provided by Michigan Sea Grant and NOAA Stakeholder Team Members: Chuck Pistis, Dan O'Keefe



Technical Team Member: Paul Isely, Department of Economics



Stakeholder Team Member: Tim Penning, School of

Communications

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The Rein in the Runoff Integrated Assessment project was funded primarily through a grant from Michigan Sea Grant and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, as well as by substantial in-kind contributions by Grand Valley State University's Annis Water Resources Institute. The project team would like to thank John Nash, Spring Lake Township Supervisor; Ryan Cotton, Spring Lake Village Manager; Craig Bessinger, Ferrysburg City Manager; and all of the members of the Rein in the Runoff Stakeholder Steering Committee for their support and participation in this Integrated Assessment. Additionally, the project team would like to thank all of the individuals who reviewed this project report and provided valuable comments for its improvement.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	ii
Table of Contents	iii
List of Tables	viii
List of Figures	x
Glossary of Terms	xiii
Chapter 1: Introduction and Background	1
Managing Stormwater Runoff	1
Integrated Assessment	3
Chapter 2: Conditions in the Spring Lake Watershed related to Stormwater Pollution	on7
Geography and Natural Features	7
Population Growth and Land Use Change	10
Scope of Stormwater Problem	13
Chapter 3: Stakeholder Education and Participation	21
Project Website	21
Project Branding	22
Presentations, Displays, and Demonstrations	22
Stakeholder Steering Committee	23
Water Quality Survey	25
Citizens Guide to Stormwater	29

Chapter 4: Stormwater Best Management Pra	ctices (BMPs)31
Structural BMPs	31
Infiltration BMPs	37
Filtration BMPs	37
Regional Storage Areas	38
Regional Treatment Areas	38
Site-Specific BMPs	38
Effects of Implementing Wide-Sp	oread Structural BMPs38
Nonstructural BMPs	43
Ordinances	43
Animal Waste Management	47
Nonpoint Source and Stormwate	er Education47
Stormwater Utility Ordinance	48
Chapter 5: Economic Analysis of Stormwater I	Vanagement Alternatives51
Direct Costs	51
Opportunity Costs and Benefits	53
Cost Effectiveness and Pollution Reduc	ction55
Cost-Benefit Analysis	55
Chapter 6: Population Growth and Stormwater	r Pollution61
Potential Land Use Changes Resulting in the Spring Lake Watershed	from Continued Population Growth61
Effects of Future Development on Pollu	itant Loads to Spring Lake63

Chapter 7: Rein in the Runoff Products and Resultant Projects	37
Conceptual Model6	7
Spring Lake Watershed Atlas6	39
Spring Lake Shoreline Assessment6	39
Functional Wetlands Assessment7	70
Grant Resources7	72
Technical Presentations and Publication7	74
Chapter 8: Rein in the Runoff Conclusions and Next Steps	75
Literature Cited	79
Appendix A: Datasets and Hydrologic Models8	7
Existing Datasets8	38
Land Use and Land Cover Update8	39
Modeling the Effects of Stormwater Runoff on Current Conditions	<del>)</del> 0
Long-Term Hydrologic Impact Assessment and Nonpoint Source Pollutant Model (L-THIA NPS or L-THIA)	90
Pollutant Loading Application (PLOAD)	}1
Impervious Surface Analysis Tool (ISAT)	98
Appendix B: Rein in the Runoff Integrated Assessment Project Flyers	99
Appendix C: Stakeholder Presentations for the Rein in the Runoff Integrated Assessment Project	)3
Appendix D: Rein in the Runoff Water Quality Surveys10	)7
Appendix E: Rein in the Runoff Citizens Guide to Stormwater in the Spring Lake Watershed11	5

Appendix F: BMP Review and Analysis12	<u>2</u> 3
Model Stormwater Management Projects124	4
Macro-Scale BMP Analysis124	4
Step 1: Identification of Priority Areas12	25
Step 2: Evaluation of Existing Riparian Buffers12	25
Step 3: Identification of Public Properties for BMPs12	<u>2</u> 5
Step 4: Identification of Opportunities for Infiltration BMPs12	26
Step 5: Identification of Opportunities for Filtration BMPs12	6
Step 6: Identification of Universal BMPs12	6
Modeling Pollutant Loads after Application of BMPs12	27
Appendix G: Model Stormwater Ordinance and Performance Standards129	9
Rein in the Runoff Model Low Impact Development Stormwater Ordinance for the Communities in the Spring Lake Watershed130	О
Rein in the Runoff Draft Stormwater Performance and Design Standards160	0
Appendix H: Animal Waste Management Ordinances16	3
Animal Waste Ordinance164	4
Waterfowl Ordinance16	5
Appendix I: Stakeholder Education and Outreach Resources16	<b>3</b> 7
Appendix J: Stormwater Utility Ordinance Guidance169	9
City of Marquette (MI) Stormwater Utility Ordinance17	0
Guidance on Establishing Stormwater Utility Fees	'5

Appendix K: Population Allocation Model (PAM)	177
Potential Future Growth and Land Use Change	178
Growth Potential Module	180
Land Availability Module	182
Land Desirability Module	183
Appendix L: Rein in the Runoff Spring Lake Watershed Atlas	191
Appendix M: Rein in the Runoff Scientific and Policy Publications and Presentations	193

### <u>LIST OF TABLES</u>

Table 2-1. Natural Resources Conservation Service Hydrologic Soil Groups10
Table 3-1. Rein in the Runoff Integrated Assessment Project Stakeholder Steering Committee Meetings
Table 3-2. Water Quality Survey Results Regarding Stakeholder Behaviors28
Table 4-1. Structural Best Management Practices (BMPs) Alternatives Appropriate for Implementation in the Spring Lake Watershed
Table 4-2. PLOAD Results With and Without BMPs for TN, TP, and TSS in the Spring Lake Watershed for 2006 Land Use and Land Cover39
Table 4-3. Nonstructural Best Management Practices (BMPs) Alternatives for Potential Implementation in the Spring Lake Watershed44
Table 4-4. Current Spring Lake Watershed Local Ordinances that Address Stormwater Management
Table 5-1. Direct Initial Costs to Treat 1 Acre of Impervious Surface Area52
Table 5-2. Additional Yearly Maintenance Costs per 1 Acre of Impervious Surface Area
Table 5-3. Opportunity Costs to Treat 1 Acre of Impervious Surface Area54
Table 5-4. Average Percent Reductions in Pollutant Loads for Different BMPs55
Table 5-5. Estimated BMP Costs per 1 Acre of Impervious Surface Area56
Table 5-6. Cost Effectiveness Associated with Pollutant Load Reductions Per Treated Acre
Table 6-1. PLOAD Results for Pollutant Loads from the Spring Lake Watershed based on the Population Allocation Model's (PAM) Forecasted Residential Growth and Patterns of Development in 2010, 2020, 2030, and 2040
Table 7-1. Length and Percent of Shoreline Categories Identified for the Spring Lake Shoreline Assessment Conducted in August 200970

Table 7-2. Potential Sources of Federal Funding for Stormwater Management and Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects	72
Table 7-3. Potential Sources of State and Private Funding for Stormwater Management and Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects	73
Table A-1. Rainfall to Runoff Ratios for the Sub-Watershed Basins in the Spring Lake Watershed	94
Table A-2. Event Mean Concentration (EMC) Tabular Input Data for PLOAD Model Runs	95
Table F-1. Spring Lake Watershed BMPs Conversions to Rein in the Runoff Project Land Use and Land Cover Classifications	127
Table K-1. Population Allocation Model (PAM) Growth Potential Module Estimates of Spring Lake Watershed Population Over Time	181
Table K-2. PAM Population Density Calculations for the Spring Lake Watershed	183
Table K-3. PAM Land Availability Module Projected Growth and Development in the Spring Lake Watershed	
Table K-4. PAM Decision Support File for the Spring Lake Watershed	.184

# <u>LIST OF FIGURES</u>

Figure 1-1. Rein in the Runoff Integrated Assessment approach for stormwater management alternatives in the Spring Lake Watershed5
Figure 2-1. Geographic location of Spring Lake in Michigan's western lower peninsula7
Figure 2-2. Municipal jurisdictions within the Spring Lake Watershed boundary and downstream to the mouth of the Grand River8
Figure 2-3. Classification of soils in the Spring Lake Watershed by Hydrologic Soil Groups9
Figure 2-4. Significant land use change in the Spring Lake Watershed 1978-200611
Figure 2-5. Population density (2000) of the Spring Lake Watershed12
Figure 2-6. Percent change in impervious surface cover in the Spring Lake Watershed from 1978 – 200614
Figure 2-7. Total phosphorus levels (parts per billion) in Spring Lake (1999 – 2003)15
Figure 2-8. Rein in the Runoff modeling results for Total Phosphorus loadings from the Spring Lake Watershed based on 2006 land use and cover16
Figure 2-9. Rein in the Runoff modeling results for Total Nitrogen loadings from the Spring Lake Watershed based on 2006 land use and cover17
Figure 2-10. Rein in the Runoff modeling results for Total Suspended Solids loadings from the Spring Lake Watershed based on 2006 land use and cover18
Figure 3-1 Water Quality Survey responses regarding the water quality of Spring Lake26
Figure 3-2 Water Quality Survey responses regarding stakeholder willingness to pay for phosphorus reduction below 20 ppb
Figure 3-3 Water Quality Survey responses rating potential sources of pollution to Spring Lake27
Figure 4-1. Rein in the Runoff macro-scale BMP selection analysis for the Spring Lake Watershed

Figure 4-2. High priority areas for implementation of Low Impact Development (LID)  BMPs in the Spring Lake Watershed
Figure 4-3. PLOAD results with and without BMPs for Total Nitrogen mapped to the ArcSWAT sub-basins for the Spring Lake Watershed's 2006 land use and land cover
Figure 4-4. PLOAD results with and without BMPs for Total Phosphorus mapped to the ArcSWAT sub-basins for the Spring Lake Watershed's 2006 land use and land cover
Figure 4-5. PLOAD results with and without BMPs for Total Suspended Solids mapped to the ArcSWAT sub-basins for the Spring Lake Watershed's 2006 land use and land cover
Figure 6-1. Projected land use and land cover changes in the Spring Lake Watershed in 2010, 2020, 2030, and 2040, based on the Population Allocation Model's (PAM) projected residential growth and population allocation
Figure 6-2. Linked model results from PAM and PLOAD for Total Nitrogen (TN) mapped to the ArcSWAT sub-basins for the Spring Lake Watershed based on projected residential growth and development in 2010, 2020, 2030, and 204064
Figure 6-3. Linked model results from PAM and PLOAD for Total Phosphorus (TP) mapped to the ArcSWAT sub-basins for the Spring Lake Watershed based on projected residential growth and development in 2010, 2020, 2030, and 204065
Figure 6-4. Linked model results from PAM and PLOAD for Total Suspended Solids (TSS) mapped to the ArcSWAT sub-basins for the Spring Lake Watershed based on projected residential growth and development in 2010, 2020, 2030, and 2040
Figure 7-1. Rein in the Runoff Integrated Assessment stormwater runoff conceptual ecological model
Figure 7-2. Spring Lake Shoreline Assessment of the hardened and natural shoreline features of Spring Lake (MI) in August 200971
Figure A-1. Spring Lake Watershed sub-watershed basin divisions for PLOAD Simple Method Analysis93
Figure A-2. Output window for BASINS 4.0 project for the Spring Lake Watershed 2006 land use and land cover PLOAD model run96
Figure A-3. PLOAD results for total pollutant loads for the Spring Lake Watershed for 1978, 1992/97, and 2006

components	179
Figure K-2. PAM population growth and allocation map for the Spring Lake Watershed for 2010	186
Figure K-3. PAM population growth and allocation map for the Spring Lake Watershed for 2020	187
Figure K-4. PAM population growth and allocation map for the Spring Lake Watershed for 2030	188
Figure K-5. PAM population growth and allocation map for the Spring Lake Watershed for 2040	189